

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,469	03/24/2004	Katsuya Miyata	62758-075	2918
7550 MCDERMOTT; WILL & EMERY 600 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			TAYLOR, JOSHUA D	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2426	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. MIYATA, KATSUYA 10/807,469 Interview Summary Evaminer Art Unit

Applicant(s)

	LAMITIME	AILOIIL					
	JOSHUA TAYLOR	2426					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>JOSHUA TAYLOR</u> .	(3)WEI-CHEN NICHOLAS	CHEN (REG. N	O. 56,665).				
(2) <u>JOSEPH HIRL</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 18 August 2009.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	•]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>3,4,7-14,18 and 20-31</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Sin (Pat. No.: US 7,227	<u>7,583)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	) was not reached. h) N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner cautioned Applicant on the possible 101 implications of the term "communication partner." Also, Applicant seemed to understand that claims were too broad, and thus Sin read on the daim language.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Josh Taylor/ Examiner, Art Unit 2426	Woseph P. Hirl/ Superpison Datort Evenings Art III	nii 2428					
LAGITHIEL, ALL OTHE 2420	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Ur	1II Z4Z6					